



# NOVETTA

---

## **Performance Testing Using Synthesized and Processed Face Images**

May 5, 2016

# Agenda

---

- Introduction
- Synthesis Techniques
- Evaluation Methodology & Results
- Example Images
- Q & A

# Introduction

---


- Biosynthetics are synthetic biometric images – fingerprints, faces, and irises – intended for use in biometric system testing
- Support generation of to 13m synthetic images per dataset
  - 1m face, 2m iris, 10m fingerprint
- Helps integrators, gov't agencies evaluate new or updated algorithms
  - Is algorithm A more accurate than algorithm B in our system?
  - Does the vendor's upgrade improve accuracy and/or speed?
  - How do we test that the vendor's proposed architecture scales?
- Meant to reduce effort, cost, and privacy issues associated with biometric data collection and usage
- Goal is visual acceptability, through there are some tradeoffs at scale
- Work originally funded by DHS SBIR (through 2012), since then has matured into a commercial product

# Synthesis Techniques

---




# Synthesis Overview



# Active Appearance Model (AAM)


- Face images are converted into a parametric representation: shape and texture
- Pre-process shape and texture data
  - Alignment and normalization
- Build statistical models
  - Principal Component Analysis (PCA) coefficients are created for shape and texture
  - Combined coefficients are created from shape and texture coefficients
  - Model is built from combined coefficients of all training images
- Generate coefficients from model
  - Synthetic coefficients are sampled from model
  - Reconstruction reverses the parameterization (coefficients  $\rightarrow$  face image)



# Skin Texture Patching

---

- Patching introduces variation in skin texture by using the seamless cloning technique of Poisson editing
- Patching occurs across the entire face region with a few exceptions
  - Areas of sharp texture transitions (i.e. eyes and mouth) were prone to be inaccurate, creating visual artifacts
- Patches, or square regions of skin texture, are selected by finding the “best” skin patch in a set of random patches
  - Patch with the smallest mean square error is the best fit
- Process is repeated till all of the face region has been patched





# Alpha Blending

- Alpha blending is a technique that transitions one face (synthetic) into another face (chassis) via varying weighting
  - Chassis is the host image that the synthetic face is embedded into
- Weights are distributed gradually so that pixel transitions may be smooth
- Improves the visual appearance of synthetic face images by reducing sharp transitions



Alpha Mask



Before Alpha Blending




After Alpha Blending

# Eye Replacement

---

- Generating faces through AAM synthesis sometimes creates ill-defined or faded eyes
- Eye replacement is the process of embedding different eyes into the synthetic image to improve the visual appearance
- Eyes from the chassis image or any training image can be used to replace the synthetic eyes
- Additional adjustments such as translation, scaling, and rotation are applied to the eyes



Chassis or Training Face



Synthetic Face



Synthetic Face with  
Eye Replacement

# Evaluation Methodology & Results


---

# Methodology

---


- False match rate (FMR) is the proportion of impostor comparisons that are falsely, or incorrectly, matched
- Baseline FMR is produced by matching **real** probe images against **real** gallery images
- Synthetic FMR is produced by matching **synthetic** probe images against **real** gallery images
- Three “stages” of synthetic images are generated where each stage builds on top of the previous
  - Stage 1: Synthesis with AAM modeling
  - Stage 2: Synthesis with AAM modeling and patching
  - Stage 3: Synthesis with AAM modeling, patching, alpha blending and eye replacement
- 50 synthetic probes and 2000 gallery subjects were evaluated

# Stage 1 Synthesis






# Stage 2 Synthesis



# Stage 3 Synthesis



# FMR Performance


---

Threshold	Baseline	Stage 1	Stage 2	Stage 3
$t_2$	10.08871%	7.92863%	5.17757%	5.21352%
$t_3$	1.00207%	0.43103%	0.21602%	0.22602%
$t_4$	0.10001%	0.02300%	0.01000%	0.00800%
$t_5$	0.01000%	0.00200%	0.00000%	0.00000%
$t_6$	0.00100%	0.00000%	0.00000%	0.00000%

- Adding visual improvements lowers FMR at stricter thresholds
- Synthetic images are reliable in producing unique identities even with visual improvements
- Further development is necessary to produce visually acceptable synthetic images that maintain realistic FMR behavior across all thresholds

# Logarithm of FMR Performance

- The logarithm of FMR computes the degree of the FMR
  - The logarithm of 0% FMR is undefined, therefore Stage 1-3 have shorter curves than Baseline



- The degree for all curves are roughly the same between  $t_1$  and  $t_2$
- Deviation from baseline begins at  $t_3$  and continues to increase till  $t_5$
- Stage 2 and 3 perform similarly, where Stage 3 is slightly higher

# Example Images


---

# Example 1


---



Baseline



Stage 1



Stage 2




Stage 3

↙ Location of Visual Artifacts

## Example 2


---




Baseline



Stage 1



Stage 2



Stage 3

↙ Location of Visual Artifacts



# Example 3

---



Baseline



Stage 1



Stage 2




Stage 3

↙ Location of Visual Artifacts




# Example 4


---




Baseline



Stage 1



Stage 2



Stage 3

↙ Location of Visual Artifacts

# Q & A

---